

DOCKET NO:
S20N-CR110128328S : SUPERIOR COURT
STATE OF CONNECTICUT : G.A. # (20)
v. : AT NORWALK, CONNECTICUT
DUANE DOUDEL : MAY 7, 2012

BEFORE THE HONORABLE BRUCE HUDOCK, JUDGE

A P P E A R A N C E S :

Representing the Plaintiff:

Attorney Nichol Peco
State's Attorneys Office
17 Belden Avenue
Norwalk, CT 06850

Representing the Defendant(s):

Attorney Rachel M. Baird
379 Prospect Street
Torrington, CT 06790

Recorded By:
Amanda Staron

Transcribed By:
Amanda Staron
Court Recording Monitor
17 Belden Avenue
Norwalk, CT 06850

1 ATTY. PECO: Duane Doutel.

2 THE CLERK: 303.

3 ATTY. BAIRD: Good morning, Your Honor. Rachel
4 Baird on behalf of Mr. Doutel.

5 Your Honor, we had last appeared not long ago, I
6 don't even think it's been a month and -- it was
7 around April 5th, so maybe it was a little more than
8 a month. But we have filed a motion for Court
9 approval to provide disclosure pursuant to practice
10 book 40-13a, that's the provision that allows me to
11 provide my counsel the police reports --

12 THE COURT: You mean your client?

13 ATTY. BAIRD: My -- excuse me, my client the
14 reports.

15 My understanding on that date was that it would
16 be heard today but I understand now that it won't be.

17 THE COURT: Well, first of all, that's not the
18 practice in this Court. So I'm not sure who told you
19 that. I was not a party to that conversation by the
20 way, I was not present. So I -- I don't know who
21 said that but those kind -- those matters are
22 scheduled by the Clerk's office.

23 ATTY. BAIRD: I did go over to the Clerk's
24 office --

25 THE COURT: Yes.

26 ATTY. BAIRD: -- Your Honor, after our
27 discussion. There was a line of about ten people

1 deep and my understanding was that by the time I got
2 to the front of that line Court would already be in
3 session so I didn't wait in that line.

4 When I go to the Clerk's office, is it my
5 understanding that they will schedule this for a
6 short calendar date?

7 THE COURT: I think you will hear from the
8 Clerk's office. I don't know whether they will give
9 you a date.

10 ATTY. BAIRD: Uhm-hum.

11 THE COURT: I am suggesting a date in September
12 only because we have a shortage of Judges during the
13 next -- actually, during most of July and August and
14 part of June.

15 ATTY. BAIRD: We are asking that the motion be
16 heard as soon as possible --

17 THE COURT: Well, September it'll be.

18 ATTY. BAIRD: -- and certainly not wait till
19 September.

20 THE COURT: September.

21 ATTY. BAIRD: Your Honor, the office of the
22 corporation counsel who represents Chief Rilling has
23 also filed a motion for disposition of seized
24 property, that the property that was seized go back
25 to the rightful owner, Barbara Doutel. And that was
26 filed by Jeffrey Spahr, he's corporation counsel for
27 the City of Norwalk. Is it my understanding as well

1 that that will not be heard until September?

2 THE COURT: I don't know. Your -- you do not
3 represent the City of Norwalk, that's their motion.
4 So I can't tell you when that will be heard.

5 ATTY. BAIRD: Okay. I'll inform Attorney Spahr
6 then that he needs to contact the Clerk's office to
7 schedule it?

8 THE COURT: If you're having communication with
9 Attorney Spahr then that's -- whatever you tell him
10 you tell him.

11 ATTY. BAIRD: Okay, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: I'm not dealing with Attorney Spahr
13 right now I'm dealing with you.

14 ATTY. BAIRD: But this is a motion that he filed
15 on our behalf to benefit us.

16 THE COURT: Well, Attorney Spahr is not here. I
17 do not see him here.

18 ATTY. BAIRD: Okay. I'll inform Attorney Spahr
19 then that he needs to call the Clerk's office to
20 schedule a date.

21 THE COURT: You tell him what you wish to tell,
22 okay?

23 All right --

24 ATTY. BAIRD: And I will ask the Clerk's office
25 to expedite this so that it's scheduled as soon as
26 possible because we do need to have it heard as soon
27 as possible.

1 THE COURT: I said September.

2 ATTY. BAIRD: So should I inform the Clerk's
3 office that the Court has ordered a September hearing
4 date?

5 THE COURT: How many times do I have to say it?
6 September.

7 ATTY. BAIRD: Is there a particular date in
8 September?

9 THE COURT: Whatever date is available in
10 September after the first full week in September. So
11 from the second, third, fourth, weekends -- weeks of
12 September.

13 ATTY. BAIRD: So Mr. Doutel's case is being
14 continued five months at this point? From April to
15 September?

16 THE COURT: I'm sorry. This isn't April.

17 ATTY. BAIRD: May. May to September?

18 THE COURT: That's what -- it's on the Firm Jury
19 list, yeah.

20 ATTY. BAIRD: Okay. We had made a motion at the
21 beginning of this proceeding to have -- to have dates
22 to not have the -- Mr. Doutel have to come back until
23 his actual court trial and the Court had indicated at
24 that time we would have to come back on a periodic
25 basis. So that's why I was just confirming with the
26 Court that we were now scheduled out four months.

27 THE COURT: That's what I'm doing. September.

1 ATTY. BAIRD: Okay.

2 THE COURT: All right? So we'll need a date for
3 status, isn't necessarily -- I'll be happy to change
4 it to the date that the motion is scheduled for so
5 just tentatively, let's put it down for -- Short
6 Calendar is usually on a Tuesday --

7 THE CLERK: Thursdays.

8 ATTY. PECO: Thursday.

9 THE COURT: Thursday.

10 THE CLERK: So the first Thursday in September
11 is --

12 THE COURT: No. You know what, I'm going to
13 change it to a Tuesday. We're going to put it on
14 Short Calendar for a Tuesday because Tuesdays are
15 better.

16 Counsel, if you are available on June -- I'm
17 sorry, September the 18th, we can schedule everything
18 for two o'clock.

19 ATTY. BAIRD: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: All right. Tell the Clerk's to put
21 your motion down for September the 18th at two
22 o'clock.

23 THE CLERK: You already got it, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: Okay. We got it?

25 ATTY. BAIRD: Thank you.


26 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

DOCKET NO:
S20N-CR110128328S : SUPERIOR COURT
STATE OF CONNECTICUT : G.A. # (20)
v. : AT NORWALK, CONNECTICUT
DUANE DOUDEL : MAY 7, 2012

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I hereby certify the electronic version is/the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription of the audio recording of the above-referenced case, heard in Superior Court, G.A. # (20), Norwalk, Connecticut, before the Honorable Bruce Hudock, Judge, on the 7th day of May, 2012.

Dated this 9th day of May, 2012 in Norwalk, Connecticut.



Amanda Staron
Court Recording Monitor